Previous references.
Mwz 285-10-192
346

1911.
(Mwz 201)

File No. 85-11
Serial Nos. 192

Later references.
Mwz 526-11
294 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 192

Part B. for September 1911

SUBJECT.

Payment of Commission to gumastas of Taluks for doing the clerical work connected with the management of the Sivaganga Matt by Govt.

Table of Contents

1 From the Comptroller to Govt No 7000/Mwz 282 dy 15-5-11
Requests that G O Nos 1890-1/Mwz 1890-1/Mwz 285-10-dy 1-1-11 & No 1549-50/M 7 285-10-2 dy 7-12-10 sanctioning allowances to gumastas of the Nagadi & Amekal for doing the clerical work connected with the management of the Sivaganga Matt, may be communicated to him through the Finl C

2 To the Comptr to Govt, Dy convrs of No & Mwz Suppt No 532-41 dy 1-9-11
Directs that the allowances on question be stopped from the commencement of the next Fincal year.

G. R. S. 58

G.R.S. 11] 1910.- 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(~~Sta~~ Mur-)

NOTES.

Mur File No. 25-11-12 579-

FILE HEADING.

Payment of commission to gumastas of Taluks for doing the clerical work connected with the management of the Sivaganga Mutt by Govt

From The Comptroller

No. 7000 / Muj 282 Dated 15-5-11 Received 17-5-11

Diary No. 4642	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that the G. Os' Nos 1890-1/Muj 285-10-4 & 1529-50 Muj 285-10-2 dt: 1-1-11 & 7-12-10 sanctioning allces to the gumastas of the Nagari & the Anchal Taluks for doing the clerical work connected with the Management of the Sivaganga Mutt, may be communicated to him by the Collector

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Comptroller points out that the grant of allowances to the Taluk gumastas is against the provisions contained in art. 49(a) M.S.R. The Muzrai Superintendent relies on the Chief Commr's Pro: No. 11764 R207 dated .

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09

Serial No.

dated 20th January 1877. as his authority for the grant. But the Comptroller says that the authority "does not appear to hold good now, in as much as there does not appear to have been any rule in existence in those days corresponding to the present rule contained in art. 49(a) M.S.R"

Subs for orders.

DRM 24/7.

23-5-11

AHG 23/5/11

2. When the States are taken under Govt management if work is not to be done by existing hands then a separate establishment for the same will be necessary & cannot be contended with propriety that a Govt servant is bound to do any work & so need not claim extra remuneration when the said work is clearly outside his ordinary duties to maintain a special establishment involves the same cost & adds to the Pay & Commission & increase may therefore be economical. In this case therefore there is no objection & the case may therefore be communicated from the Govt of Cochin claim pr/ Pension with the Dewan's Comments

Govt Secy)

by Lt. Col. SK

3 Mr Muzaffar. for remarks if any & personal discussion with Hon'ble Mr. if considered necessary

29/5

NOTE— Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta Misc 85 File No. 579 of 1970-11 11-12

Serial No.

1 The only authority quoted for the allowance is the order of 1877, ~~and the question~~ which as stated in the letter, does not appear to hold good. The question of the admissibility of the allowance does not appear to have been considered in all its aspects. If the work in connection with the management of the estates, is not an appreciable quantity, there would be no need to levy anything from the state on account of the early management. If appreciable time of a Subah Sumasta is taken away in attending to the additional work, the Govt. loses the services of the Sumasta for as much time as he is employed on other work. And there is no reason why Govt. should pay the Sumasta fully pay for doing its work part time. Either the recovery from the state should be credited to Govt. or the Sumasta paid less for Govt. work. If the additional work is done to the detriment to his Govt. work, the allowance may be given. The spirit of the rule in the C & R does not appear to admit of the grant of such allowances ordinarily for any additional work, & much less where the additional work to be done is very little. Again there is the question of the agency employed in connection with the

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—30 000—12-8 10

Serial No.

the additional work. Is it to those who actually collects the revenue or the gumastas who books it in the Taluk Office that is to get the allowance. All these points have to be considered in sanctioning the allowance, & not merely the fact that it involves additional work. And as it does not appear that these points have been done, the confirmation of the first Council was sought for.

A. Govindaraja Mudaliar
26/1

If the work that an Officer is expected to do is in the same line as his substantive appt. then I agree there is no reason to give any remuneration for the extra work whether it is done in addl hours legitimate work or in office hours or during his office hours. But when the work is not connected with such substantive appt, then, I think, it is but reasonable that addl remuneration should be given. Eg. A professor of the Presidency College is asked to deliver lectures in a Law College. I think the prof should get extra remuneration although both are in addl hours though he lectures during his office hours. Therefore I think that the gumastha in this case was right in paid extra. If I concur the conditions mentioned in the A/Cs Member above should all be weighed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-68

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

~~S.R.~~ MWR 85
File No. ~~579~~ of 19~~10~~ 11-12

Serial No.

before the allowance is given and when given it should be held, I think, that the administrative dept has considered all the necessary points. Therefore I think that the part of the allowance Correct though perhaps a simple reference to an obsolete Circular was not a satisfactory Explanation - the more so when the Mysore Service Regns Contain provisions dealing with add[l] remuneration are at Court. There is however no reason in Continuing the order from the finance dept.

Recd 15/6/11

Please send to the admve branch for favour of further remarks if any. 15/6

Recd for favour of remarks. 15/6/11

I do not think there is anything more for this Magisl Branch to say. It might have been possible to have in the Mysore Income Regn in 1907 that would have been done in 1893 & then 1902 but as against the management of the party first proposed on this basis followed in 1903 the omission with the issue of 1893 this from which the allowance has been. No doubt this work is not very heavy

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPS—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12
General and Secretariat.

File No. 5279 of 1906-14.

Serial No.

The Jamadars who are in charge of Kachcheries and Vijayanagar Hostels should be in receipt of Extra allowance. Matter under Govt. management and getting Rs 8 extra remuneration.

If it is intended that Jamadars doing work for this Dist agency should be deprived of the allowance they ~~are~~ have been getting since now the file will be sent to the Government Council on hearing of same from Financial Branch.

16/6/24

Por II)
Subd
DM 247
19-6-11
AMs
19/6/11

to other jamadars doing work of any allied nature. The jamadars alleged also may please.

H Secy)

19.6.11

11 Lapses - The practice should be uniform. The work is not heavy & other jamadars in similar circumstances do not get extra remuneration

for VII)

12
Submitted to Hon'ble Council for orders.

13 If the allowances are to cease it should effect should only be given from the commencement of next year.

Abdul Majid
28/6/24

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 5-79 of 1910-11

Serial No.

11 Please let me have the No of Papers dealt with by this Gumasta during the last three years.

24/6/11

Head Clerk Inlands

12 Write from Inspn Offices letter pointing out the work done

Rendered

16 I issued a circular to the Amildars of the five (exclusive of Nelamangala) Taluks in which this Math owns Inam Villages and asked the questions specified below:-

(1) What is the nature of work done by this Gumasta and what accounts does he maintain?

(2) How many papers have been disposed of in the last three years?

(3) Is not the work brief and is an allowance, Contrary to Article 49 A of the Inspn Inam Regulation necessary?

a Statement showing the applications received from the Sirsi Amildars is appended.

17 Should be seen that none of them has specified how many papers have been disposed of in the last three years.

9 78.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 579 of 1910-11

Serial No.	Bangalore	Devanahalli	Magadi	Anekal	Tumkur.
1) What is the nature of the work done and what accounts are maintained?	—	Do work except maintaining "Abstract of accounts"	Checking Village account, Crediting the money received, maintaining D C B account, taking steps to the recovery of arrears, disposing of Correspondence, preparation of Potigê bills and the maintenance of D C B account & other registers	Checking Village account, crediting the money received, maintaining D C B account & sending extracts to Nelamangala Taluk and Huzur Rept's office, preparation of accounts for Abstract, disposing of Correspondence, preparation of potigê bills and the maintenance of D C B & other registers.	Checking Village account crediting the money received, maintaining D C B account, preparation of accounts for Abstract & other accounts, disposing of Correspondence, preparation of Potigê bills and the maintenance of D C B & other registers
2) How many papers have been disposed in the last 3 years?	—	—	—	—	—
3) Is not work light-is allowance necessary	Allowance necessary	—	Allowance necessary. Article 494 of the Mysore Service Regulations does not appear to apply, as the staff is financially independent of Govt and the staff funds are neither "General Revenue" nor "Local funds"	Allowance necessary	Allowance necessary

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—12 S 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

815

File No. 579 of 1910-11 11-12

Serial No.

The details of work specified do not show that any work of the kind is usually done in connection with a Village is done. Wasil Baki and Mis accounts, Darkhast and other Registers be kept in regular and up to date Village by a forest Ranger or an Inam manager by forest.

It is however necessary to pay a little attention to work in this case of forest Village. Accounts have to be forwarded to this office and to the Nilambur Taluk.

1 As regards the necessity of the allowance it seems to be strange to expect this Govt does to say that it is not necessary. The Mogadi Govt does say that articles 9 of the Forest Regns should not be applied to this case as the Govt is financially interested of forest and this Govt fund is not given to Govt or forest fund. It is not for me to say if this view is correct.

4558-68 / R 384-09-3 D/8.2.1911:A 2 It is usual order of first to sanctioning the assumption of the management of Inam Villages at the usual 5½ Inamdars a percentage is to be levied as charges of management. That principle may justify the allowances claimed in this case. It may be considered as a share if an allowance for land revenue is stopped.

4 The financial condition of this Govt is not to be lost sight of and any saving of expenditure will be welcomed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 5 of 1911.

Serial No

by Mr Swami.

As I have already said if this allowance is to be stopped, it should be with effect from this current year and not with retrospective effect.

Ahmednagar

7/8/1911

P.S. My office file is also put up for reference

Ahmednagar

7/8/1911

One village or so does not make any appreciable addition to the Gumasta's work. The allowances may be stopped from the beginning of next year, though I do not think there is anything wrong in principle to grant them.

11/8/11

14.8.11

To Branch II with a request that this Magistrate's file may be returned after the file is disposed of. 12/8/1911

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—5 000—26 6 11

G R.S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 574 of 1910 ~~11-11-12~~

Serial No

Sub
D3247
14-8-11
Mund

this branch has no objection to issue the necessary orders but it would perhaps be more appropriate that the Deptt Concerned which is also a Vacate branch of this Secrett issues the order. may this be returned to the Mungrai branch for the issue of the necessary orders.

Yes
14/8

4 Transferred to the Mungrai branch for issue of necessary orders. No Confirmation is necessary & P.D.

Interdept
17/8/911

15-8-11

Draft subd
Nº 127 16/8/11

Plan sent to Superintendent's office 18/8/11

Fair Draft subd
17 8 11

Dept-Revenue & Secretariat Arrangements

17/8/911

3 Draft approved.

18/8/11

NOTE—Contin sheet

GPR—1000—25 6 11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85 of 19 11

Serial No.	
2	To The Comptroller — Dy Comm of Do — Asst Supdt No 532—41 dt 1.9.11 Direct that the allowances paid to the inmates in question be stopped from the commencement of the next official year.

1/9-11

NOTE— Contn. sheet

GPB—50 000 12-8 10

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters below the number as given opposite may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government

No. 7000. Muz. 282.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

Dated Bangalore, the 11th May 1911

Enclosures. 2.

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

....ESQ.,

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*

F..i..n..a..n..c..i..a..l.. .. *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith for reference copies of the marginally noted correspondence that took place between this office and the Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore, regarding the payment of commission to Gumastas of certain Taluks for doing the clerical work connected with the management of the Sivaganga Matt by Government, and which allowances have been sanctioned by Government in the Muzrai Department in their Orders Nos. 1890-1/Muz.285-10-4 and 1549-50/Muz.285-10-2 dated 1st January 1911 and 7th December 1910 respectively. The authority of the year 1877 for the grant of these allowances, quoted by the Muzrai Superintendent in his letter No. 1212 dated 29th April 1911, does not appear to hold good now, inasmuch as there does not appear to have been any rule in existence in those days, corresponding to the present rule contained in Article 49 (a) of the Mysore Service Regulations, prohibiting the grant of any additional remuneration under ordinary circumstances. I therefore request that the Government Orders referred to above sanctioning such allowances or commission may be communicated to this office, by the Financial Branch.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J S Chakravarti

Comptroller.

Rec.—Gl. 17]

....Department.

19

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

....ESQ.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

....*Dept.*

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.

Subject matter.

GPB—2,500—25-10-10

Letter No. 5315/Muz.237 dated 15th March 1911 from the Comptroller, Mysore Government, to the Muzrai Superintedent in Mysore.

-:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:-

Adverting to the marginally noted bills received with the orders noted against them, I have the honor to state that the Proceedings referred to only authorise payment of the remuneration from the Sivaganga Matt Fund. As the funds are administered by Government, the Gumastas, who are whole time servants of Government, are not entitled to any extra extra allowance as per article 49 of Mysore Service Regulations. I therefore request that you will be good enough to inform this office if there is any special sanction for the acceptance of such remuneration by the Gumastas.

Amount.	G.O. No.	dated.
(1) Rs.17-11-6 being the commission due to the Gumasta of the Magadi Taluk during 1909-10.	1549-50/Muz.285-10-2	7-12-1910
(2) Rs.21-6-9 Allowance due to the Gumasta of Anekal for 1909-10.	1890-1/Muz.285-10-4	1- 2-1911
(3) Rs.51-3-9 Allowance due to the Head Gumasta of the Tumkur Taluk for 1909-10.	1510-11/Muz.647-09-4	5-12-1911.

It is also observed that no such allowance is granted to Taluk officials for doing the works of estates of other Institutions managed by Government.

" C O P Y "

A Balakrishnan

Superintendent,
Comptroller's Office.

Letter No. 1212 dated 29th April 1911 from the Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore, to the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

-:):):):):):):):):-

With reference to your letter No. 5315/Muz.237 dated 15th March 1911, requesting for information as to any special sanction for the acceptance of remuneration by Taluk Gumastas who do work connected with the Sivaganga Matt under Government Management, I have the honor to invite your attention to the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 11764-R.207 dated 20th February 1877 in para 14 of which the payment of a commission of 3% on the collections to the Gumastas is sanctioned, and to Government Order No. 694-7 dated 23rd December 1893 directing the expenditure in connection with the Matt and its endowments to be regulated on the lines adopted on a former occasion when it was brought under Government control.

Copies of the said orders are enclosed for ready reference.

C O P Y

A Balakrishnan

Superintendent,
Comptroller's Office.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, AGRICULTURE AND COMMERCE,

20th February 1877.

R e a d:-

Letter No. 139 of the 21st September 1876, from the Commissioner, Nundydroog Division.

Letter No. 7195-165, dated 21st October 1876, to the Commissioner, Nundydroog Division.

Letter No. 378, dated 4th December 1876, from the Commissioner, Nundydroog Division.

-:):):):):):):):):-

No. 11764-R.207 Dated Bangalore, 20th January 1877.

ORDER THEREON.- In the Proceedings noted in the margin, the Commissioner of Nundydroog Division was requested to report the actual terms on which the Government undertook to manage the estates of Sivaganga Matt for the benefit of the creditors and to submit.-

No. 3044-R.53 dated 27th June 1876.

(a) Accounts of receipts and disbursements from the time when the estates were first taken in hand by Government, and

(b) A list of the creditors with particulars of their claims on the estates inclusive and exclusive of interest.

2. The Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner report that the management was not undertaken by Government on any particular terms but only on the implied condition that the estates should be restored to the Matt after the debts are liquidated.

(3) The estates appear to have been directly managed by Government for Two years, 1862-63 and 1863-64, when they yielded a revenue of Rs.9,092-6-4. Deducting from this Rs.1,102-4-5, on account of charges of establishment and jodi payable to Government, there was a balance of Rs.7,990-1-11.

	Rs.	as.	p.
Establishment ...	689	0	7
Jodi	413	3	10
	1,102	4	5

(4) In 1864-65 the estates were given over on contract to one Papanapalli Annaji Rao, on condition of his paying an annual rent of Rs.4,301-0-0, exclusive of jodi and other charges. This arrangement continued up to 1874-75, and, from the following year (1875-76), the estates have again come under Government management.

(5) The contract amount due by Annaji Rao Rs.47,311-0-0 of which Rs.45,995-0-0 have been collected, leaving a balance of Rs.1,316-0-0 due by the contractor.

(6) In 1875-76 only Rs.1,263-12-11 have been collected. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report what was the Jamabandi amount of that year and what portion has been actually collected up to date.

(7) The total collections up to September last amounted to Rs.56,351-3-3, according to the following particulars:-

		Rs.	as.	p.
Revenue of 1862-63 and 1863-64		9,092	6	4
Contract paid by P.Annaji Rao	...	45,995	0	0
Amount collected for 1875-76	...	1,263	12	11
		56,351	3	3

Of this sum Rs. 53,125-10-4 were expended, as

particularised

particularised below:-

	Rs.	as.	p.
Establishment charges incurred in 1862-63 and 1863-64	689	0	7
Jodi paid to Government in do- ...	413	3	10
Paid to Matt -do-	19,174	1	9
Annuities -do- .. .	191	14	7
Remission on account of loss of crop &c.,	950	0	0
Funeral ceremonies of late Guru ...	500	0	0
Repairs of tanks	3,851	0	0
Repairs of Matt buildings	2,125	0	0
Allowance paid to present Guru in 1875-76	100	0	0
	27,994	4	9
Dividends paid to creditors ...	25,131	5	7
Total.	53,125	10	4

leaving a balance on hand of Rs.3,225-8-11.

(8) Of the charges incurred, the only item which is in dispute is Rs.2,125-0-0, said to have been paid for the repairs of the Matt buildings. The repairs were not executed, and the late Guru's Agent Subba Rao was tried criminally, when it was proved that he actually misappropriated Rs.865-5-3. What has become of the balance has not been satisfactorily explained. The Commissioner is of opinion that Annaji Rao has never made good the balance and had acted the part of a dishonest contractor or trustee towards Government and that he must be held liable for the money. Annaji Rao produced a receipt for Rs.2,125, but the Chief Commissioner has reason to believe that it was obtained surreptitiously in collusion with the Guru's Agent Subba Rao who had access to the Guru's seal. The Guru's signature was not appended, and, in the absence of positive evidence of the payment of the amount, the Chief Commissioner would, as proposed by the Commissioner, hold Annaji Rao responsible for the whole amount mentioned in the receipt, viz., Rs.2,125-0-0. To this must be added Rs.1,316 due by Annaji Rao on account of the contract amount, aggregating in all Rs.3,441-0-0. The Commissioner

and Deputy Commissioner recommend that a remission of Rs.200 should be allowed to Annaji Rao on account of the tank, which irrigated certain inam lands of the Matt, having been under repair in 1874-75. The Chief Commissioner is pleased to sanction this remission which will reduce the amount due by Annaji Rao to Rs.3,241/-.

(9) With regard to the debts due by the Matt, the following statement furnished by the Deputy Commissioner shews that the whole amount decreed including costs was Rs.40,336-10-0 and that the interest which accrued due subsequent to the dates of the several decrees amounted to Rs.24,322-3-2, aggregating in all Rs.64,658-13-2 of which Rs.25,131-5-7 have been paid, leaving a balance of Rs,39,527-7-7 still due:-

Name decree holders	Amount of decree								Interest accrued due subsequent to date of decree				Grand Total			Deduct			Balance to be		
	Principal			Court costs			Interest		Total												
1. Perindevamma,																					
" -law of Krishnamma Naidu	5,000	-	-	6	1	-	-	-	5,006	1	-	5,000	-	-	10,006	1	-	3526	5	-	6,479	1	-
2. Sehu Rao, Son of Yeshwant Rao	5,000	-	-	7	3	589	-	-	5,596	8	3	4,411	-	-	10,007	8	3	4293	3	5	5,714	4	10
3. N. Gundappa, purchaser																							
decree from	500	-	-	1	3	1	60	-	561	3	1	440	-	-	1,001	3	10	436	15	7	564	4	3
4. M. Appu Rao	5,818	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,818	3	-	5,818	3	-	11,636	6	-	4997	9	5	6,638	12	7
5 M. Narayan Rao	445	8	-	-	-		-	-	44	-		-	-	-	445	8	-	141	2	7	304	5	5
6. G. Nanjundiah & Venkatesia	104	11	8	-	-	-	-	-	104	11	8	-	-	-	104	11	8	44	11	11	59	15	9
7. Gauramma	200	-	-	1	8	-	152	-	353	8	-	48	-	-	401	8	-	175	15	2	225	8	10
8 Krishna Sastry	100	-	-	4	14	-	76	-	180	14	-	-	-	-	180	14	-	79	8	1	101	5	11
9. Putter Sinappa	2,909	6	1	10	11	7	1292	11	4,212	12	8	2,269	1	9	6,481	14	5	2,228	13	7	4,253	-	10
10. P. Annaji Rao	6,000	-	-	1	-	-	3278	14	9,279	14	-	2,721	2	-	12,001	-	-	5137	10	7	6,868	5	5
11. Julu Sahuji	1,200	-	-	30	4	-	-	-	1,230	4	-	1,200	-	-	2,430	4	-	762	4	1	1,667	15	11
12 P. Annaji Rao	3645	4	-	103	2	-	865	-	4,613	6	-	380	-	-	4,993	6	-	2,145	10	10	2,847	11	2
13 Iswara Sahuji	2,432	-	-	104	8	-	397	3	2993	11	7	2,034	12	5	4,968	8	-	1,161	7	4	3,807	-	8
Total	33,355	-	9	270	12	8	6710	12	40,386	10	-	24,332	3	2	64,658	13	2	25,131	5	7	39,527	7	7

Interest exceeding principal has been allowed by the Deputy Commissioner.

Comp'd/by -

10. With regard to one of the creditors, named Isvara Sahuji, it appears that the debt claimed was contracted on 29th June 1864, by the late Sankara Bharati Swami from his own brother Subba Rao, and by the latter assigned to overto Isvara Savji who obtained the decree on 4th January 1866. The first dividend of Rs.184-4-3 was paid by the Deputy Commissioner on 31st October 1867. The Chief Commissioner observes that, as the debt was apparently contracted after the Matt estates were taken charge of by Government, it ought not to have been recognized by the Deputy Commissioner without the sanction of the Chief Commissioner, and that the dividends, amounting to rupees 1,161-7-4, ought not to have been paid without such sanction. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to state whether there are any special grounds why this debt should not be struck out altogether.

11. Deducting the amount claimed by Isvara Sahuji the balance due to the other creditors is Rs.35,720-6-11, a moiety of which Rs.17,860-3-5, it is reported, all the creditors, with the exception of Krishna Sastry, are willing to accept in full liquidation of their claims. With regard to Krishna Sastri, the Chief Commissioner concurs with the Commissioner in thinking that the objection of this single individual, who claims 60 per cent on the amount of his debt and who had already received a sum very nearly equal to principal, should not be entertained.

12. The amount payable to Annaji Rao under the proposed composition is Rs.4,855-8-3. If from this sum be deducted Rs.3,241-0-0 due by him, the balance actually payable will be Rs.1,614-8-3.

13. The aggregate of the amounts of the debts payable to all the creditors, except Esvara Sahuji, is thus reduced to Rs.14,619-3-5. Towards payment of this debt there is a sum of Rs.3,225-8-11 available at present, besides the collection made subsequent to the date of the Deputy Commissioner's report. To

To enable the Commissioner to adjust the debt in the manner proposed by him, the Government of India will be asked to sanction a loan of Rs.10,000 from the Muzrai Surplus Fund of the Province, bearing interest at 4 per cent per annum on the security of the Matt estates, which will be retained under Government management till the loan is repaid.

14. As the future management of the Matt inam villages and inam lands the Chief Commissioner approves of the proposals made by the Commissioner in paras 5 and 6 of his letter, viz., that the exclusive management should be entrusted to the Amildars in whose Taluks they are situated, a Gumasta in each Taluk being ordered to do the additional work involved, receiving an allowance of 3 per cent on the net collections. The Bangalore District Office will be required to supervise the returns of the villages and prepare the annual statements noted in the margin for submission to this office. The Survey forms of village accounts should be introduced into all the villages of the Matt.

1. Jamabandi returns
2. Demand, collection and balance statement.
3. Statement of receipts and disbursements.

15. The allowance payable to the present Guru for the current expenditure of the Matt has already been fixed at Rs.100 per mensem in this Office No. 11232/F.627, dated 5th February 1877, which will be continued to be paid until the debts are liquidated and the estates restored to the Matt.

(Sd.) T. Clarke,
Offg. Secretary.

To The Commissioner of the Nundydroog Division
,, Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, returning copies of decrees received.
,, do. Tumkur District.
,, Deputy Accountant General in Mysore,
,, Inam Superintendent, Mysore,
,, Survey and Settlement Commissioner.
,, Agent, Sivaganga Matt.

(Copy)
A Balakrishnan
Superintendent,
Comptroller's Office.

1893

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZARAYI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 23rd December 1893

No 694-7 / Muz F. 36-93

Directing the Muzrayi Superintendent to assume charge of all the Inam villages and lands belonging to the Sivaganga Matt in the Nelamangala Taluk and to place them under the management of the Amildars of the Taluks in which they are situated.

MGCPB—120—27-1-[illegible]

3

G.R.S. 28.]

2487 pm

File No 85

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 250

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Murari)

, *the* 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ-again /

Chief Commissioner's Pro No 11764 R 207 Dy 20th Feb' 1877, sanctioning the payment of a Commission of 3% on the collections from the Sivaganga Matt villages taken under ~~ORDER THEREON:— NO.~~ Govt management to the Gumastha doing the clerical work connected with these villages

Govt Pro: No 6947 ~~696~~ dated 23rd December 1893 directing in connection with the re-assumption

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000 19 4 10

management by Govt of
Mato endowments, that the ex-
diture should be regulated
on the lines adopted in 1877
Letter No 7000 Dj 15 5-11 from
Mus 282
Compt, stating with reference
Govt orders Nos 1890-1 + 1549-50
Mus 285-10-4 Mus 285-10-2
Dj 1-12-11 + 7.12.10 respectively sanctioning the
bills of these Jamaadars, that
though the payment in 1877 could
not be questioned the payment
now is, in the absence of special
circumstances, opposed to
article 49(a) of the Mesne
Service Regulations

Order thereon No 532-41 Dt 1/9/19
Mus 7 85-11-2

Upon a consideration of
the circumstances Govt
of opinion that the
in connection
Matt villages makes
addition
of the

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form*)

I do not think that the article mentioned applies.

18/8/11

and there
Gymnastas ~~These~~ are ~~there~~
no special reasons for the grant of any additional remuneration. ~~not following article 496(a) of the Mysore Service Regulations~~. Govt therefore direct that with effect from the year 1911-12 the allowances ~~now~~ paid to these Gymnastas be stopped from the Commencement of the next official year.

Dfh 17/8/11

RL 17.8.11 For Secy

Chkd 17.8.11 Ref No 127

To,

The Comptr Mysore Govt

By Comrs of Dts

Mysore Superintendent

Copied 20/8/11

GPB—10,000—22 2 11

ments, the

adopted in

the

1790-1

1911 and

these

questioned

statutory

tions.

ment are

mutt villages

of the

of any

allowances

ment of the

to

the Comptroller,

the Deputy

the Naval